

## Stephen Kisko

---

**From:** chris smith [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 08 July 2021 11:52  
**To:** Natalie Mclean  
**Subject:** RE: RWO 193A DMMO

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

On behalf of the Open Spaces Society I object to the order on the following grounds

- 1) The section of the original application route B/D to F has been omitted without any reason given.
- 2) The width is incorrect and is not based on any evidence.
- 3) The decision is based on a decision that the route was brought into question by a deposit by a landowner in 2009. In this deposit the owners admitted the part of the application route that is on their land. I do not think this can be said be bringing the route into question. If it does the calling into question only applies to that part of the route that the landowner making the deposit.
- 4) The route opened by landowners in 2016 should be added as it has become a public right of way under common law.

Chris Smith  
Open Spaces Society correspondent for Lewes District  
[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Natalie Mclean [mailto:Natalie.Mclean@eastsussex.gov.uk]  
**Sent:** 29 June 2021 13:50  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RWO 193A DMMO

Please see the attached documents

Kind regards,

Definitive Map Team  
Rights of Way and Countryside Team

0345 6080193  
[eastsussex.gov.uk/rightsofway](https://eastsussex.gov.uk/rightsofway)  
[Privacy statement](#)



This message is intended for the use of the addressee only and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you have received it in error please notify the sender and destroy it. You may not use it or copy it to anyone else.